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Facts

• The Spanish language (as a Romance language) has many past tense forms (and also an incredible range of variation) conveying temporal and aspectual information.

• At the same time, one of the most challenging areas of acquisition of the Spanish language is in its past tense forms.
General thoughts

• Especially when the L2 has a more complex/richer tense-aspect system than the L1, acquisition may be challenging

• Regarding Spanish as L2, the most investigated learner group has English as L1

• Inherent aspect seems to play a role in the acquisition, but how, and why?

• Research about the L1 effect is still finding its way
Motivation

• Slabakova 2002 (review article Recent research on the acquisition of aspect: An embarrassment of riches?) future research ideas:
  
  • Effect of a learner’s native language (L1 factor)
  • More goal-oriented hypothesis testing research

20 years later....Both suggestions for future research have been broadly studied. Still...

→ So much variation in aspectual interlanguage representations
Main objective of this talk

• In this talk, I want to share insight about the **L1 effect** by comparing three closely related languages with little to no aspectual marking:
  • Dutch
  • English
  • German

• The target language is Spanish.
But before doing that...

- Grammatical Aspect and Past tense in Spanish
- Inherent aspect
- What do we know so far in SLA and theoretical and empirically based hypotheses and L1 transfer
- How do the three L1s represent past tense (and aspect?)
Grammatical aspect (Spanish)

The Spanish grammar requires marking of the grammatical aspect when the verbal form appears in past tense:

• Preterit: *Nuria llamó.*
  • Action presented in a closed past temporal domain

• Imperfect: *Laura llamaba.*
  • Focusses on the internal temporal structure, and the past temporal domain is not necessarily closed
  • 3 readings: episodical, progressive and habitual
The Perfect

Perfect: *Rosa ha llamado.*

- Action takes place in the past in a temporal domain still valid

- Some prototypical PP contexts:
  - Resultative ‘they have finished cleaning’
  - Hodiernal ‘this morning I have woken up very soon’
  - Life experience ‘lately I have thought about moving out’
  - Prehodiernal ‘I have finished the powerpoint last Sunday’
  - ...

- According to the RAE, it is the verbal form with the most variation in Spanish
3 past tenses

1) Perfect:
   • Used in perfect contexts *(in European Spanish)*
     He comprado pan hoy *(I have bought bread today)*

2) Preterit:
   • Used in perfective contexts
     Compré pan anoche *(I bought bread last night)*
     *Compré pan hoy *(I bought bread today)* → fully
       accepted in LA Spanish

3) Imperfective:
   • Used in imperfective contexts *(episodic, progressive and habitual readings)*
     Compraba pan cada mañana *(I bought bread every morning)*
Inherent aspect

• Inherent property of the verb (predication) and universal to all languages. Many theoretical frameworks, among others:

  • Vendler (1957): states, activities, accomplishments, achievements
  • Moens and Steedman (1988): dynamic, non dynamic
  • Krifka (1989): telic, atelic
  • Verkuyl (1993): terminative, durative
SLA TA(M)L2 oriented

• Aspect in L2 has been studied extensively (Bardovi Harlig, Salaberry, Comajoan, Domínguez et al, Slabakova, Quintana Hernández, Diaubalick, to name only a few)

• For speakers of Germanic languages, it has been shown that acquiring the past in Spanish represents a challenge (Comajoan 2014)

• Among a number of theoretical based hypotheses, these studies have revealed several salient factors:
  • L1
  • The level of proficiency
  • The type of task
2 hypotheses & 1 factor

- Lexical Aspect Hypothesis
  - 2 refinements: Predicational Effect Hypothesis & Dynamicity Effects

- Default Past Tense Hypothesis
  - Reassessment

- L1 transfer effects
Lexical Aspect Hypothesis


• The verb form is selected according to the lexical aspect (states, activities, accomplishments and achievements)
  • Achievement verbs prefer perfective morphology,
  • states will be combined only with imperfective forms
• Non-prototypical contexts are acquired in later stages
• Refinements of the LAH:
  • Domínguez, Tracy-Ventura, Arche, Mitchell & Miles (2013): Dynamicity effects.
Predicational Aspect Hypothesis
(González, 2003, 2013)

- The whole verb phrase is needed to classify inherent aspect (not only *comprar* but *comprar* and its argument)

- Verbs are classified into two categories: terminative (*comprar un libro*) and durative (*comprar libros*)

- Learners associate the Preterit with terminative predications, and the Imperfect with durative ones
  - *compré un libro/compraba libros*
Dynamicity Effects
(Domínguez et al, 2013)

- Dynamicity contrasts influence the choice of past morphology in early stages of acquisition
  - events = dynamic predicates
  - states = non-dynamic predicates

- Beginner and intermediate learners of Spanish use first perfective with events: salió por la mañana

- ... and later imperfective with states: estaba cansado

Could the difference between the two refinements have to do with the L1?
Default Past Tense Hypothesis
(Salaberry, 1999)

• Aspectual values are not considered at all in the beginning stages of acquisition
• The Preterit is used as a Default Form for all past events

Could this preference have to do with the L1?

• González and Salaberry (2022): DPTH revisited considering current research
  • the role of the L1
  • type of task
  • Level of proficiency
Default Past Tense must be able to cover all possible combinations of L1s and Proficiency Levels. Approach must be able to consider 1st stage Tense. Integration of full range of options afforded by aspectual knowledge is necessary. Elicitation tasks (open-ended, narratives...) are important. Default Past Tense will depend on all these pieces of the puzzle. Proficiency levels better defined and made homogeneous are crucial. L1 aspectual representation (more L1s needed!) is a factor. Pieces of the puzzle (González & Salaberry 2022)
L1 factor in TA empirical research

The *semantic differences* between L1 and L2 can influence the way in that grammatical contrasts are acquired

- Izquierdo and Collins (2008) L1 Spanish and English, L2 French
- McManus (2015) L1 English and German, L2 French
- González and Hernández Quintana (2018) L1 Dutch and English, L2 Spanish
- González and Diaubalick (2019) L1 Dutch and German, L2 Spanish
L1 transfer effects

• Izquierdo and Collins (2008), and McManus (2015) studied the effect of the L1 in the acquisition of past tense forms.

• When comparing the L1 of the learners there are significant differences in their interlanguages:
  • The choice of past tense forms is different according to the learners’ L1
  • Their accuracy in high levels of proficiency can be explained by comparing the L1 of the learners.
The L1 effect

• McManus (2015) studied L1 English and L1 German learners of French to show that differences in the *semantic nature* between L1 and L2 can influence the way in which grammatical contrasts are acquired and processed.

• Results:
  • Only in progressive contexts, English learners outperformed the Germans.
  • In habitual contexts, conversely, both groups were strongly target-deviate.
At first sight, Dutch, German and English tense systems seem rather similar (Borik, González & Verkuyl 2003, ten Cate 2004, already described in González and Diaubalick 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Past</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRES</td>
<td>PAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ik schrijf een brief</td>
<td>ik schreef een brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ich schreibe einen Brief</td>
<td>Ich schrieb einen Brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I write a letter</td>
<td>I wrote a letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRES(POST)</td>
<td>PAST(POST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ik zal een brief schrijven</td>
<td>Ik zou een brief schrijven ./.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ich werde einen Brief schreiben</td>
<td>Ich hatte einen Brief geschrieben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will write a letter</td>
<td>I would have written a letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRES(PERF)</td>
<td>PAST(PERF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ik heb een brief geschreven</td>
<td>ik had een brief geschreven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ich habe einen Brief geschrieben</td>
<td>Ich hatte einen Brief geschrieben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have written a letter</td>
<td>I had written a letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRES(POST)(PERF)</td>
<td>PAST(POST)(PERF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ik zal een brief geschreven hebben</td>
<td>ik zou een brief geschreven hebben ./.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ich werde einen Brief geschreifen haben.</td>
<td>Ich hätte einen Brief geschrieben haben.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will have written a letter</td>
<td>I would have written a letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Germanic Systems

• In contrast to Romance languages, Germanic languages:
  • share inherent aspect values
  • contain fewer (none?) formal instances of grammatical aspect

• Some of the similarities turn out to be only superficial (similar forms) and do not include the use (different meaning)
Past tenses in English

1) Perfect: present perfect
   • Used in perfect and perfective contexts
     • I have had breakfast today
     • I have had some chocolate

2) Perfective: simple past
   • Used in perfective and imperfective contexts
     • I had breakfast yesterday
     • I had breakfast every morning

3) Imperfective: simple past, progressive, used to+inf
   • Used in imperfective contexts (progressive, episodical, habitual)
     • I was having breakfast when you came in
     • I used to have breakfast every day
     • I went to the beach every summer
Past tenses in Dutch

1) Perfect: voltooid tegenwoordige tijd
   • Used in perfect and perfective contexts *Ik heb vandaag gegeten* (I have eaten today)
     • *Ik heb gisteren gegeten* (I have eaten yesterday)

2) Imperfect: onvoltooid verleden tijd
   • Used mostly in imperfective contexts
     • *Ik at churros elke ochtend* (I ate churros every morning)
     • *Ik at bij mijn moeder gisteren* (I ate at my mother’s yesterday) ← also possible but odd (van Hout 2005)

   • Progressive: aan het +inf
     • *Ik ben/was aan het lezen* (‘I am/was reading’)
       (The present tense functions as progressive too: (compare: *Ik lees op dit moment (vs *I read at this moment))
Past tenses in German

While in Dutch and English, the contrast between the Simple Past and the Present Perfect involves an aspectual distinction, the corresponding German forms are entirely interchangeable in colloquial language (Heinold 2015):

Du hast geschlafen, als ich nach Hause kam.
(You have slept, when I came home)
Du schliefst, als ich nach Hause gekommen bin.
(You slept, when I have come home)
Du hast geschlafen als ich nach Hause gekommen bin.
(You have slept, when I have come home)
Du schliefst, als ich nach Hause kam.
(You slept, when I came home)
‘You were sleeping, when I came home.’

(German lacks a standardized Progressive (like Ik ben aan het lezen, or I am reading). A similar form in German is restricted to a few regional zones and is highly stigmatized (Ich bin am Lesen)).
Germanic Systems in short

- All Germanic systems have a poorer aspect system in comparison to Romance languages
- Dutch and English contain a *basic aspectual notion* in its tense system
- Dutch has a wider use of the perfect
- English has a wider use of the progressive
- German does not have any grammatical aspect
Three recent empirical studies

Comparing Dutch, English and German L1 learning Spanish L2:

1) Dutch and English L1

2) Dutch and German L1

3) Dutch L1
Methodologies

All participants were low-intermediate level

• Study 1:
  • A written production task based on a mute short movie
  • 22 English, 31 Dutch, 16 control

• Studies 2 and 3:
  • Grammatical judgment tasks
  • Production tasks: Completion tasks and free production

• Study 2
  • 61 German (15 low intermediate), 11 Dutch, 20 control

• Study 3
  • 7 Dutch, 20 control
Conclusions 1st study: (English/Dutch)

- Dutch learners
  - use perfects in perfective contexts, which strongly suggests L1 transfer
  - transfer L1 aspectual differentiations (terminative vs durative inherent aspect) to L2 grammatical aspect verbal forms

- English learners
  - use preterit in both perfective and imperfective contexts, which implies L1 transfer
  - they do not use the progressive in imperfective contexts (which was expected)
  - transfer L1 aspectual differentiations (dynamicity) to L2 grammatical aspect verbal forms
Conclusions 2nd study: (German/Dutch)

• Both groups overuse the perfect forms

• German learners
  • adhered to temporal adverbials. In many cases, these caused a clear deviation from the target system

• Dutch learners
  • transfer L1 aspectual differentiations (terminative vs durative inherent aspect) to L2 grammatical aspect verbal forms
Conclusions 3rd study: (Dutch)

- Both in fill-in task and the free production task, there was a tendency towards the use of the imperfect rather than the preterit. This did not happen in the verb election task.

- (remember: the single simple past in Dutch has a value closer to the imperfective aspect)

- This seems to indicate that it is the simple past of the first language Dutch functions as a type of default in Spanish L2.
**L1 properties influencing L2 representation**

- The English language makes more use of progressive forms (L1 transfer)
- The Dutch and German languages make more use of perfect forms (L1 transfer)
- Dutch simple past is imperfective default (reassessing de DPTH)
- English simple past is perfective default
- German does not have clear grammatical clues
Discussion and conclusions

• In general, speakers of Germanic language experience many difficulties in acquiring the Spanish Past tenses

• None of our tested groups performed on native-like level

• Trigger words such as temporal markers drove all learner groups into the same direction, regardless of whether they were performing well or not in the judgement tasks

→ Such temporal markers are often taught as pedagogical rule, and may ‘overwrite’ subconscious knowledge (cf. Baker & Quesada 2011, Rothman 2008)

• Nonetheless, our findings strongly indicate that the L1 definitely matters

• Three separate studies and because of different methodology not yet comparable 😐 (but working on it!)
Discussion and conclusions

- In addition to McManus’s (2015) findings, we have shown that not only the *nature of aspectual contrasts* grammaticalized in the L1 matter, but also the fact if and how aspect is grammaticalized at all.

- One important limitation of our data is the sample size, especially for the Dutch group. Future research has to pursue verifying our conclusions.

- Nonetheless, our findings strongly indicate that the L1 definitely matters; the reassessing of the DPTH is highly needed!!
  
  (and working on it 😊)
Putting together the pieces of the puzzle

DUTCH AND ENGLISH L1

1st stage: DPTH. Learners mark past tense and use the L1 information
- Dutch: imperfecto
- English: indefinido

2nd stage: refinements of the AH: when the learners decide to choose, they resort to their L1
- Dutch: terminativity
- English: dynamicity

1st TENSE, 2nd ASPECT

And we know this thanks to the L1 effect
¡Muchas gracias!
Dank jullie wel!
Thank you!
Danke schön!